IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 4204 of 1996

Between:

Smt. P.Radha Devi, W/o. P.Venkata Rama Rao,
R/o. 2-2-1074/5, Amberpet, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Nagar kurnool, Mahaboobnagar Dist.
2 Smt. Sowbhagyalakshmi, W/o. V.Rama Rao,
R/o. 1-13, Chaitnyapuri, Hyderabad.
3 S. Gopalakrishna Rao, S/o. Lakshmi Narasimha Rao,
R/o. Pedda Kothapalli (V), Mahaboobnagar Dist.
4 Smt. S.Andalamma, W/o. Kodanda Rama Rao,
R/o. Pedda Kothapalli (V), Mahaboobnagar Dist.
5 Smt. C.Savithri Devi, W/o. Ranga Rao, (D/o.Ramachandra Rao),
R/o. 4-35, Nagarkurnool, Mahabubnagar Dist.
6 The Dsit. Collector, Mahaboobnagar District.

(Respondent No.6 impleaded as per Court order dt.1.11.1997

in W.P.M.P.No.28575/97).

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or direction directing the 1st respondent to take into government custody Sy.Nos.6, 7, 8, 9, 85, 86, 87 and 88 of Pedda Kothapally (V), Pedda Kothapalli (M), Mahaboobnagar dist., auction lease-hold right of the said lands every year and to deposit the amounts realised in Fixed Deposit in the 1st respondent's name in the State Bank of Hyderabad, Nagar Kurnool Branch, pending disposal of I/2706/85 dt.I/9/86 (Form rr IA/4/75) on his file.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. G.S.DWARAKESWARA RAO (Not present)

Counsel for Respondents 1 and 6: GOVERNMENT PLEADER FOR REVENUE

Counsel for Respondent No.2: MR. G.VEERA REDDY

Counsel for Respondent No.3: MR. S.NARASIMHA RAO

Counsel for Respondent No.4: None appeared.

Counsel for Respondent No.5: MR. S.KRISHNA RAO

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This matter was listed for hearing on 02-02-2005. As there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, it is listed for dismissal today. Even today, when the matter is called, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Therefore, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

R.SUBHASH REDDY, J

03rd February, 2005.

Ak

SPECIAL OFFICER

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Nagar Kurnool,

Mahaboobnagar District.

2. The District Collector, Mahaboobnagar District.

3. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Revenue,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (O.U.T).

4. Two CD copies.